arXiv:math/0611670v1 [math.AP] 22 Nov 2006

NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS INTERACTING WITH A NONLINEAR ELASTIC SOLID SHELL

C.H. ARTHUR CHENG, DANIEL COUTAND, AND STEVE SHKOLLER

Abstract. We study a moving boundary value problem consisting of a viscous incompressible fluid moving and interacting with a nonlinear elastic solid shell. The fluid motion is governed by the Navier-Stokes equations, while the shell is modeled by the nonlinear Koiter shell model, consisting of both bending and membrane tractions. The fluid is coupled to the solid shell through continuity of displacements and tractions (stresses) along the moving material interface. We prove existence and uniqueness of solutions in Sobolev spaces.

1. Introduction

1.1. **The problem statement and background.** Fluid-solid interaction problems involving moving material interfaces have been the focus of active research since the nineties. The first problem solved in this area was for the case of a rigid body moving in a viscous fluid (see [11], [18] and also the early works of [22] and [21] for a rigid body moving in a Stokes flow in the full space). The case of an elastic body moving in a viscous fluid was considerably more challenging because of some apparent regularity incompatibilities between the parabolic fluid phase and the hyperbolic solid phase. The first existence results in this area were for regularized elasticity laws, such as in [12] for a *finite* number of elastic modes, or in [2], [4], and [3] for hyperviscous elasticity laws, or in [20] in which a phase-field regularization "fattens" the sharp interface via a diffuse-interface model.

The treatment of classical elasticity laws for the solid phase, without any regularizing term, was only considered recently in [9] for the three-dimensional linear St. Venant-Kirchhoff constitutive law and in [10] for quasilinear elastodynamics coupled to the Navier-Stokes equations. Some of the basic new ideas introduced in those works concerned a functional framework that scales in a hyperbolic fashion (and is therefore driven by the solid phase), the introduction of approximate problems either penalized with respect to the divergence-free constraint in the moving fluid domain, or smoothed by an appropriate parabolic artificial viscosity in the solid phase (chosen in an asymptotically convergent and consistent fashion), and the tracking of the motion of the interface by difference quotients techniques.

The complimentary fluid-solid interaction problem, studied herein, consists of the motion of a viscous incompressible fluid enclosed by a moving thin nonlinear elastic *solid* shell. Our companion paper [5] treats the case of a viscous incompressible fluid enclosed by a moving thin nonlinear elastic *fluid* shell. This is a moving boundary problem that models the motion of a viscous incompressible Newtonian fluid inside of a deformable elastic structure. The main mathematical differences with respect to the previous problem of a deformable solid body moving inside of the fluid is that the shell encloses the fluid and is mathematically the *boundary* of the fluid. The shell model consists of "elliptic" operators which do not provide the expected regurality associated with the highest order operator coming from the shell's bending energy, and, in particular, ellipticity holds only for short time. The only cases considered until now consisted of regularized problems, wherein the elliptic degeneracy occurs along a *fixed direction*, such as in [14] or [4].

We are concerned here with establishing the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the time-dependent incompressible Navier-Stokes equations interacting with a quasilinear elastic solid shell of Koiter type (see [6] for a detailed account of Koiter shells). The solid shell energy is a nonlinear function of the first and second fundamental forms of the moving boundary.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denote an open bounded domain with boundary $\Gamma := \partial\Omega$. For each $t \in (0,T]$, we wish to find the domain $\Omega(t)$, a divergence-free velocity field $u(t,\cdot)$, a pressure function $p(t,\cdot)$ on $\Omega(t)$, and a volume-preserving transformation $\eta(t,\cdot) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(t) &= \eta(t,\Omega)\,, && && \text{(1.1a)}\\
\eta_t(t,x) &= u(t,\eta(t,x))\,, && && \text{(1.1b)}\\
u_t + \nabla_u u - \nu\Delta u &= -\nabla p + f && \text{in } \Omega(t)\,, && \text{(1.1c)}\\
\operatorname{div} u &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega(t)\,, && \text{(1.1d)}\\
(\nu \operatorname{Def} u - p\mathrm{Id})n &= \mathfrak{t}_{shell} && \text{on } \Gamma(t)\,, && \text{(1.1e)}\\
u(0,x) &= u_0(x) && \forall x \in \Omega\,, && \text{(1.1f)}\\
\eta(0,x) &= x && \forall x \in \Omega\,, && \text{(1.1g)}
\end{aligned}$$

where ν is the kinematic viscosity, $n(t,\cdot)$ is the outward pointing unit normal to $\Gamma(t)$, $\Gamma(t) := \partial\Omega(t)$ denotes the boundary of $\Omega(t)$, $\operatorname{Def} u$ is twice the rate of deformation tensor of u, given in coordinates by $u^i_{,j} + u^j_{,i}$, where $u^i_{,j}$ denotes $\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j}$, and $\mathfrak{t}_{shell}$ is the traction imparted onto the fluid by the elastic solid shell, which we describe next.

With ε denoting the thickness of the Koiter shell, $\lambda/2$ and $\mu/2$ the Lamé constants, the energy stored in the elastic surface has the form

$$\varepsilon E_{mem} + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{3} E_{ben}\,,$$

where the membrane energy E_{mem} is

$$E_{mem} = \int_\Gamma \sqrt{a}\, a^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{0\alpha\beta})(g_{\gamma\delta} - g_{0\gamma\delta})dS_0 \tag{1.2}$$

and the bending energy E_{ben} is

$$E_{ben} = \int_\Gamma \sqrt{a}\, a^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(b_{\alpha\beta} - b_{0\alpha\beta})(b_{\gamma\delta} - b_{0\gamma\delta})dS_0\,, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$a^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{4\lambda\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} g_0^{\alpha\beta} g_0^{\gamma\delta} + 2\mu(g_0^{\alpha\gamma} g_0^{\beta\delta} + g_0^{\alpha\delta} g_0^{\beta\gamma})\,.$$

We let

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{,\alpha} \cdot \eta_{,\beta} \quad \text{denote the induced metric on } \Gamma(t),$$

and

$$b_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{,\alpha\beta} \cdot n \quad \text{denotes the second fundamental form.}$$

g_0 and b_0 denote the induced metric and second fundamental form of the unstressed initial configuration at $t = 0$.

The traction vector

$$\mathfrak{t}_{shell} = \varepsilon \mathfrak{t}_{mem} + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{3} \mathfrak{t}_{ben}$$

is computed from the first variation of the energy function E_{shell}, and will be stated in Section 2.

In this paper, we will prove well-posedness for this system in the case that the fluid is two-dimensional and the solid shell is its one-dimensional closed boundary.

2. Formulation of the problem

2.1. Fundamental geometric identities.

We use $(\cdot)'$ to denote the derivative of $(\cdot)$ along the boundary, and we use $\delta\eta$ to denote the first variation of η. The following formulas will be used often:

$$\delta n = -|\eta'|^{-2}(n \cdot \delta\eta')\eta' \,, \tag{2.1a}$$

$$n' = -|\eta'|^{-2}(\eta'' \cdot n)\eta' = -g^{-1/2} b\tau \,, \tag{2.1b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n'' &= 3|\eta'|^{-4}(\eta'' \cdot \eta')(\eta'' \cdot n)\eta' - |\eta'|^{-2}(\eta''' \cdot n)\eta' - |\eta'|^{-2}(\eta'' \cdot n)\eta'' \\ &= -|\eta'|^{-2}(\eta'' \cdot n)^2 n + |\eta'|^{-4}(\eta'' \cdot \eta')(\eta'' \cdot n)\eta' - |\eta'|^{-2}(\eta'' \cdot n)'\eta' \\ &= -g^{-1}b^2 n + \Big[\frac{g^{-3/2}}{2} g' b - g^{-1/2} b'\Big]\tau \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1c}$$

$$\eta'' = (\eta'' \cdot n)n + |\eta'|^{-1}(\eta'' \cdot \eta')\tau = bn + \frac{g'}{2\sqrt{g}}\tau \,, \tag{2.1d}$$

where $g = |\eta'|^2$, $\tau = g^{-1/2}\eta'$, and $b = \eta'' \cdot n$.

2.2. The shell traction.

The bending energy (1.3) and membrane energy (1.2) are expressed as

$$E_{ben} = \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(b - b_0)^2 dS_0 \,, \quad E_{mem} = \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(g - g_0)^2 dS_0 \,.$$

Computing the first variation of the bending energy, we find that the bending traction $\mathcal{L}_b$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_b(\eta) = 2\Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b - b_0)n\Big]'' + \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3} g^{-1} g'(b - b_0)n\Big]' \,,$$

where, once again, $b_0 = \eta_0'' \cdot n_0$ is the second fundamental form of the unstressed initial boundary.

Taking the variation of E_{mem} we find the membrane traction $\mathcal{L}_m$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_m(\eta) = -4\Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(|\eta'|^2 - |\eta_0'|^2)\eta'\Big]' \,.$$

2.3. Lagrangian formulation.

Let $\eta(t,x) = x + \int_0^t u(s,x)ds$ denote the Lagrangian particle placement field, a volume-preserving embedding of Ω onto $\Omega(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and denote the inverse matrix of $\nabla\eta(x,t)$ by

$$a(x,t) = [\nabla\eta(x,t)]^{-1} \,. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $v = u \circ \eta$ denote the Lagrangian or material velocity field, $q = p \circ \eta$ the Lagrangian pressure function, and $F = f \circ \eta$ the forcing function in the material frame.

The coupled fluid-structure problem has the following Lagrangian description:

$$\begin{aligned}
v &= \eta_t && \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega\,, && \text{(2.3a)}\\
v^i_t - \nu(a^j_\ell a^k_\ell v^i_{,k})_{,j} &= -\,(a^j_i q)_{,j} + F^i && \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega\,, && \text{(2.3b)}\\
a^j_i v^i_{,j} &= 0 && \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega\,, && \text{(2.3c)}\\
\Big[\nu(a^k_i v^j_{,k} + a^k_j v^i_{,k}) - q\delta_{ij}\Big] a^\ell_j N_\ell &= \varepsilon\mathcal{L}_b(\eta) + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{3}\mathcal{L}_m(\eta) && \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma\,, && \text{(2.3d)}\\
v(0,x) &= u_0(x) && \text{on } \{t=0\}\times\Omega\,, && \text{(2.3e)}\\
\eta &= \text{Id} && \text{on } \{t=0\}\times\Omega\,. && \text{(2.3f)}
\end{aligned}$$

3. Notation and conventions

For $T>0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}^1(T) &= \Big\{ v\in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \;\Big|\; v_t \in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)') \Big\}\,;\\
\mathcal{V}^2(T) &= \Big\{ v\in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)) \;\Big|\; v_t \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \Big\}\,;\\
\mathcal{V}^3(T) &= \Big\{ v\in L^2(0,T;H^3(\Omega)) \;\Big|\; v_t \in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \Big\}\,;\\
\mathcal{V}^k(T) &= \Big\{ v\in L^2(0,T;H^k(\Omega)) \;\Big|\; v_t \in \mathcal{V}^{k-2}(T) \Big\} \quad \text{for } k\geq 4
\end{aligned}$$

with norms

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^1(T)} &= \|v\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + \|v_t\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)')}\,;\\
\|v\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^2(T)} &= \|v\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|v_t\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\,;\\
\|v\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^3(T)} &= \|v\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^3(\Omega))} + \|v_t\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}\,;\\
\|v\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^k(T)} &= \|v\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^k(\Omega))} + \|v_t\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^{k-2}(T)} \quad \text{for } k\geq 4\ \ .
\end{aligned}$$

We then introduce the space (of "divergence free" vector fields)

$$\mathcal{V}_v = \Big\{ w\in H^1(\Omega) \;\Big|\; a^j_i(t) w^i_{,j} = 0 \;\forall\, t\in[0,T] \Big\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_v(T) = \Big\{ w\in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \;\Big|\; a^j_i(t) w^i_{,j} = 0 \;\forall\, t\in[0,T] \Big\}\,,$$

where the matrix a is defined by (2.2). Let $n(\eta) = (-\eta_2', \eta_1')/|\eta'|$ denote the outward unit normal to $\Gamma(t)$ at the point $\eta(x,t)$. We define the space E^s_η as

$$E^s_\eta = \Big\{ \zeta\in H^2(\Gamma) \;\Big|\; \zeta''\cdot n \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)\,, \zeta'\cdot\eta' \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma) \Big\}\,,$$

with norm

$$\|\zeta\|^2_{E^s_\eta} = \Big[\|\zeta''\cdot n\|^2_{H^{s-1}(\Gamma)} + \|\zeta'\cdot\eta'\|^2_{H^{s-1}(\Gamma)} \Big]\,.$$

When then set

$$E^s_\eta(T) = \Big\{ \zeta(t)\in E^s_\eta \;\; t\ a.e. \;\Big|\; \int_0^T \|\zeta(s)\|^2_{E^s_\eta} ds < \infty \Big\}$$

with norm

$$\|\zeta\|^2_{E^s_\eta(T)} = \int_0^T \|\zeta(s)\|^2_{E^s_\eta} ds\,.$$

4. The main theorem

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\nu > 0$ be given, and*

$$F \in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega)), F_t \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)), F(0) \in H^1(\Omega).$$

Assume that Γ is of class $H^{3.5}$ and that the initial data $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$. Then there exists $T > 0$ depending on u_0 and F such that there exists a solution $v \in \mathcal{V}^3(T)$ of problem (2.3) with $b \in L^2(0,T;H^{2.5}(\Gamma))$ and $g \in L^2(0,T;H^{2.5}(\Gamma))$. Moreover, if the initial data has the regularity $u_0 \in H^4(\Omega)$, then the solution $v \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}^5(\Omega))$ is unique.

5. Preliminary results

5.1. **Pressure as a Lagrange multiplier.** In the following discussion, we use $H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)$ to denote the space $H^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Gamma)$ with norm

$$\|u\|^2_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)} = \|u\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)}$$

and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\bar{v}}$ ($\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\bar{v}}(T)$) to denote the space

$$\Big\{ v \in \mathcal{V}_{\bar{v}} \ \Big| \ v \in H^2(\Gamma) \Big\} \Big(\Big\{ v \in \mathcal{V}_{\bar{v}}(T) \ \Big| \ v \in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Gamma)) \Big\} \Big).$$

Lemma 5.1. *For all $p \in L^2(\Omega)$, $t \in [0,T]$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ and $\phi \in H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)$ such that $a^j_i(t)\phi^i_{,j} = p$ and*

$$\|\phi\|_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)} \le C\|p\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. We solve the following problem on the time-dependent domain $\Omega(t)$:

$$\operatorname{div}(\phi \circ \eta(t)^{-1}) = p \circ \eta(t)^{-1} \qquad \text{in} \quad \eta(t,\Omega) := \Omega(t).$$

The solution to this problem can be written as the sum of the solutions to the following two problems

$$\operatorname{div}(\phi \circ \eta(t)^{-1}) = p \circ \eta(t)^{-1} - \bar{p}(t) \qquad \text{in} \quad \eta(t,\Omega), \tag{5.2}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\phi \circ \eta(t)^{-1}) = \bar{p}(t) \qquad \text{in} \quad \eta(t,\Omega), \tag{5.3}$$

where $\bar{p}(t) = \dfrac{1}{|\Omega|}\displaystyle\int_\Omega p(t,x)dx$. The existence of the solution to problem (5.2) with zero boundary condition is standard (see, for example, [15] Chapter 3), and the solution to problem (5.3) can be chosen as a linear function (linear in x) , for example, $\bar{p}(t)x_1$. The estimate (5.1) follows from the estimates of the solutions to (5.2). ☐

Define the linear functional on $H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)$ by $(p, a^j_i(t)\varphi^i_{,j})_{L^2(\Omega)}$ where $\varphi \in H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)$. By the Riesz representation theorem, there is a bounded linear operator $Q(t) : L^2(\Omega) \to H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)$ such that for all $\varphi \in H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)$,

$$(p, a^j_i(t)\varphi^i_{,j})_{L^2(\Omega)} = (Q(t)p,\varphi)_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)} := (Q(t)p,\varphi)_{H^1(\Omega)} + (Q(t)p,\varphi)_{H^2(\Gamma)}.$$

Letting $\varphi = Q(t)p$ shows that

$$\|Q(t)p\|_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)} \le C\|p\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. By Lemma 5.1,

$$\|p\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \|Q(t)p\|_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)}\|\varphi\|_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)} \le C\|Q(t)p\|_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)}\|p\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

which shows that $R(Q(t))$ is closed in $H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)$. Since $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_v(t)\subset R(Q(t))^\perp$ and $R(Q(t))^\perp\subset \bar{\mathcal{V}}_v(t)$, it follows that

$$H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)(t)=R(Q(t))\oplus_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)}\bar{\mathcal{V}}_v(t). \tag{5.4}$$

We can now introduce our Lagrange multiplier

LEMMA 5.2. *Let* $\mathcal{L}(t)\in H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)'$ *be such that* $\mathcal{L}(t)\varphi=0$ *for any* $\varphi\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_v(t)$. *Then there exist a unique* $q(t)\in L^2(\Omega)$, *which is termed the pressure function, satisfying*

$$\forall\ \varphi\in H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma),\quad \mathcal{L}(t)(\varphi)=(q(t),a_i^j(t)\varphi^i_{,j})_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Moreover, there is a $C>0$ *(which does not depend on* $t\in[0,T]$ *and* ϵ *and on the choice of* $v\in C_T(M)$*) such that*

$$\|q(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\le C\|\mathcal{L}(t)\|_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)'}.$$

Proof. By the decomposition (5.4), for given $\tilde{a}$, let $\varphi=v_1+v_2$, where $v_1\in\mathcal{V}_v(t)$ and $v_2\in R(Q(t)$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{L}(t)(\varphi)=\mathcal{L}(t)(v_2)=(\psi(t),v_2)_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)}=(\psi(t),\varphi)_{H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)}$$

for a unique $\psi(t)\in R(Q(t))$.
¿From the definition of $Q(t)$ we then get the existence of a unique $q(t)\in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\forall\ \varphi\in H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma),\quad \mathcal{L}(t)(\varphi)=(q(t),a_i^j(t)\varphi^i_{,j})_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

The estimate stated in the lemma is then a simple consequence of (5.1). □

5.2. **A polynomial-type inequality.**

LEMMA 5.3. *Suppose that* $x(t)$ *is continuous in* $[0,T]$, *and there are* C_1, C_2 *and* $\delta\in(0,1)$ *so that*

$$x(t)\le C_1+C_2t^\delta\mathcal{P}(x(t))\qquad\forall\ t\in[0,T]\,,$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ *is a polynomial. Then there* T_1 *(depending only on* C_1 *and* C_2*) such that*

$$x(t)\le 2C_1\qquad\forall\ t\in[0,T_1]\,.$$

Proof. We can assume that $\mathcal{P}(x)$ can be factored as $x\mathcal{Q}(x)$ since the constant part can be collected into C_1. Therefore, we have

$$x(t)\le C_1+C_2t^\delta x(t)\mathcal{Q}(x(t)),\qquad t\in[0,T]$$

and hence

$$x(t)\Big[1-C_2t^\delta\mathcal{Q}(x(t))\Big]\le C_1,\qquad t\in[0,T].$$

Let $T_1>0$ so that $C_2T_1^\delta\mathcal{Q}(2C_1)\le 1/2$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}x(t)\le C_1,\qquad t\in[0,T_1]\,.$$

□

6. Regularized and linearized problem

Given $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{V}^3(T)$ with the associated $\tilde{g}, \tilde{b}$ in $L^2(0,T;H^{2.5}(\Gamma))$, set $\tilde{F} = f \circ \tilde{\eta}$ and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_b(\eta) = 2\Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(\eta'' \cdot \tilde{n} - b_0)\tilde{n}\Big]'' + \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde{g}^{-1}\tilde{g}'(\tilde{\eta}'' \cdot \tilde{n} - b_0)\tilde{n}\Big]'$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_m(\eta) = -4\Big[(\eta' \cdot \tilde{\eta}' - |\eta_0'|^2)\tilde{\eta}'\Big]' .$$

with $\tilde{n}(\tilde{\eta}) = (-\tilde{\eta}_2', \tilde{\eta}_1')/|\tilde{\eta}'|$.

The solution v of (2.3) is found via a limit as $\kappa \to 0$ of the fixed-point of the map $\tilde{v} \mapsto v_\kappa$, where v_κ is the solution of the linearized and κ-regularized problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_\kappa &= \eta_{\kappa t} && \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega\,, && (6.1a)\\
{v_\kappa}_t^i - \nu(\tilde{a}_\ell^j \tilde{a}_\ell^k {v_\kappa}^i_{,k})_{,j} &= -\,(\tilde{a}_i^j q_\kappa)_{,j} + \tilde{F}^i && \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega\,, && (6.1b)\\
\tilde{a}_i^j {v_\kappa}^i_{,j} &= 0 && \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega\,, && (6.1c)\\
\Big[\nu(\tilde{a}_i^k {v_\kappa}^j_{,k} + \tilde{a}_j^k {v_\kappa}^i_{,k}) - q\delta_{ij}\Big]\tilde{a}_j^\ell N_\ell &= \mathcal{L}_m(\tilde{\eta}) + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_b(\eta) + \kappa\eta^{(4)} && \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma\,, && (6.1d)\\
v_\kappa(0,x) &= u_0(x) && \text{on } \{t=0\} \times \Omega\,, && (6.1e)\\
\eta_\kappa &= \text{Id} && \text{on } \{t=0\} \times \Omega\,, && (6.1f)
\end{aligned}$$

where we set $\varepsilon = 1$ and ignore the factor $1/3$ in front of $\mathcal{L}_b$. Note that here we treat the membrane traction as an extra forcing on the boundary. Also note that the time T a priori depends on κ.

Following the same analysis as in [5], we can show that for this regularized problem (for a given and fixed $\bar{v}$), there exists a unique solution (η_κ, v_κ) to (6.1) with $v_\kappa \in \mathcal{V}^3(T)$ and $\eta_\kappa \in L^2(0,T;H^{5.5}(\Gamma))$.

This follows by first approximating by a penalized problem, and then performing a regularity analysis (energy estimates). By the Tychonoff fixed-point theorem, there exists a fixed point v_κ in $\mathcal{V}^3(T_\kappa)$ with $\int_0^t v_\kappa ds \in L^2(0,T_\kappa;H^{5.5}(\Gamma))$ and $(a_\kappa)_i^j v^i_{\kappa,j} = 0$, and this v_κ and the associated η_κ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
v_\kappa &= \eta_{\kappa t} && \text{in } (0,T_\kappa) \times \Omega\,, && (6.2a)\\
{v_\kappa}_t^i - \nu[(a_\kappa)_\ell^j (a_\kappa)_\ell^k {v_\kappa}^i_{,k}]_{,j} &= -\,(a_\kappa)_i^j q_{\kappa,j} + F^i && \text{in } (0,T_\kappa) \times \Omega\,, && (6.2b)\\
(a_\kappa)_i^j v^i_{\kappa,j} &= 0 && \text{in } (0,T_\kappa) \times \Omega\,, && (6.2c)\\
\Big[\nu({a_\kappa}_i^k v^j_{,k} + {a_\kappa}_j^k {v_\kappa}^i_{,k}) - q_\kappa\delta_{ij}\Big](a_\kappa)_j^\ell N_\ell &= \mathcal{L}_m(\eta_\kappa) + \mathcal{L}_b(\eta_\kappa) && \text{on } (0,T_\kappa) \times \Gamma\,, && (6.2d)\\
&\quad + \kappa{\eta_\kappa}^{(4)} && && \\
v_\kappa(0,x) &= u_0(x) && \text{on } \{t=0\} \times \Omega\,, && (6.2e)\\
\eta_\kappa &= \text{Id} && \text{on } \{t=0\} \times \Omega\,. && (6.2f)
\end{aligned}$$

7. A PRIORI ESTIMATES FOR v_κ, q_κ AND η_κ

7.1. $L^2(\Omega)$-estimate for q_κ.

By (6.2), a solution v_κ, q_κ and η_κ also satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle v_{\kappa t}, \phi\rangle + \frac{\nu}{2}\langle (a_\kappa)^k_i (v^i_\kappa)_{,k} + (a_\kappa)^k_j (v^j_\kappa)_{,k}, (a_\kappa)^k_i \phi^i_{,k} + (a_\kappa)^k_j \phi^j_{,k}\rangle + \langle q_\kappa, (a_\kappa)^j_i \phi^i_{,j}\rangle \\
&+ \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[4(|\eta_\kappa'|^2 - |\eta_0'|^2)(\eta_\kappa'\cdot\phi') + 2(\eta_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa - b_0)(\phi''\cdot n_\kappa)\Big] dS \qquad (7.1)\\
&- \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1}(\eta_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa - b_0) g_\kappa'(\phi'\cdot n_\kappa) dS + \kappa\int_\Gamma \eta_\kappa''\cdot\phi'' dS = \langle F, \phi\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)$. Therefore, by the Lagrange multiplier lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} &\le C\Big[\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|D_{\eta_\kappa} v_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|(g_\kappa - g_0)\eta_\kappa'\|^2_{H^{-1}(\Gamma)} \\
&\qquad + \|(b_\kappa - b_0) n_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \|g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa - b_0) g_\kappa' n_\kappa\|^2_{H^{-1}(\Gamma)} + \kappa\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)}\Big] \\
&\le C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\Big[\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla v_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g_\kappa - g_0\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \qquad (7.2)\\
&\qquad + \|b_\kappa - b_0\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \kappa\|\eta\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)}\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant C independent of κ.

7.2. Interior regularity.

Converting the fluid equation (6.2b) into Eulerian variables by composing with η_κ^{-1}, we obtain a Stokes problem in the domain $\eta_\kappa(\Omega)$:

$$-\nu\Delta u_\kappa + \nabla p_\kappa = F\circ\eta_\kappa^{-1} - v_{\kappa t}\circ\eta_\kappa^{-1}\,, \qquad (7.3a)$$

$$\operatorname{div} u_\kappa = 0\,, \qquad (7.3b)$$

where $u_\kappa = v_\kappa\circ\eta_\kappa^{-1}$ and $p_\kappa = q_\kappa\circ\eta_\kappa^{-1}$. By the regularity results for the Stokes problem,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\eta_\kappa(\Omega))} + \|p_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\eta_\kappa(\Omega))} \\
&\le C\Big[\|F\circ\eta_\kappa^{-1}\|^2_{L^2(\eta_\kappa(\Omega))} + \|v_{\kappa t}\circ\eta_\kappa^{-1}\|^2_{L^2(\eta_\kappa(\Omega))} + \|u_\kappa\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|q_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C\Big[\|F\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\Big] + C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\,. \qquad (7.4)$$

Similarly,

$$\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|q_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} \le C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\Big[\|F\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\,. \qquad (7.5)$$

7.3. $H^1(\Omega)$-estimate for $v_{\kappa t}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla v_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_0)} &= \|\nabla u_0 + \int_0^t \nabla v_{\kappa t} ds\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_0)} \le \Big[\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_0)} + \int_0^t \|\nabla v_{\kappa t}\|_{L^2(\Omega_0)} ds\Big]^2 \\
&\le 2\Big[\|u_0\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_0)} + t\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}\Big]\,. \qquad (7.6)
\end{aligned}$$

8. Elliptic estimates on the boundary

8.1. **Estimates without artificial viscosity.** Since $v_\kappa \in \mathcal{V}^3(T)$, the associated η_κ satisfies the boundary condition (6.2d) in the pointwise sense. We start with the estimates without considering the artificial viscosity to illustrate the basic idea; then in the next section we consider the full boundary condition (6.2d) and obtain the desired estimates. By (2.1), we find that

$$\mathcal{L}_m(\eta) = 4\Big[\sqrt{g}(g' - g_0') + \frac{g-g_0}{2\sqrt{g}}g'\Big]\tau + 4(g-g_0)bn$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_b(\eta) = {}& \Big[2\Big(|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b-b_0)\Big)'' - 2|\eta_0'|^{-3}g^{-1}b^2(b-b_0) + \Big(|\eta_0'|^{-3}g^{-1}g'(b-b_0)\Big)'\Big]n \\ & - \Big[4\Big(|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b-b_0)\Big)' + 2|\eta_0'|^{-3}g^{-1/2}(b-b_0)b'\Big]g^{-1/2}b\tau\,.\end{aligned}$$

Given $h \in L^2(0,T;H^{1.5}(\Gamma)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H^{0.5}(\Gamma))$, a solution to

$$\mathcal{L}_m(\eta) + \mathcal{L}_b(\eta) = h \tag{8.1}$$

satisfies the "normal equation"

$$\begin{aligned}&2\Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b-b_0)\Big]'' \\ &= h\cdot n - 4(g-g_0)b + 2|\eta_0'|^{-3}g^{-1}b^2(b-b_0) - \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}g^{-1}g'(b-b_0)\Big]'\,.\end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

We also have the "tangential equation"

$$\begin{aligned}&4\sqrt{g}(g-g_0)' \\ &= h\cdot\tau + g^{-1/2}\Big[4\Big(|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b-b_0)\Big)'b + 2|\eta_0'|^{-3}g^{-1/2}(b-b_0)bb' - 2(g-g_0)g'\Big]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

Therefore, by elliptic estimates, a solution to (8.1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\|b-b_0\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} \le {}& C\Big[\|h\cdot n\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)} + \|(g-g_0)b\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)} \\ & + \|g^{-1}b^2(b-b_0)\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)} + \|[g^{-1}g'(b-b_0)]'\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{8.4a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|g-g_0\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} \le {}& C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\Big[\|h\cdot\tau\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + \|(b-b_0)'g^{-1/2}b\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} \\ & + \|g^{-1}(b-b_0)b'b\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + \|(g-g_0)g'\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{8.4b}$$

where C only depends on Γ. Since

$$\|fg\|_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)} \le C\Big[\|f\|_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)}\|g\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} + \|f\|_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)}\|g\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\Big]\,, \tag{8.5a}$$

$$\|fg\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} \le C\Big[\|f\|_{H^{1.25}(\Gamma)}\|g\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + \|f\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\|g\|_{H^{1.25}(\Gamma)}\Big]\,, \tag{8.5b}$$

by the Leibniz rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|[g^{-1}g'(b-b_0)]'\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)} \\ &\le C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\Big[\|g\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|b-b_0\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} + \|b-b_0\|^2_{W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma)}\Big] \\ &\le C_\epsilon\mathcal{P}(\|\eta\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\Big[\|g\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|b-b_0\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} + \|b-b_0\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] \\ &\quad + \epsilon\|b-b_0\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

and

$$\|g^{-1}(b-b_0)b'b\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} \le C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|b-b_0\|^2_{H^{1.25}(\Gamma)}\|b\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|b\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\,.$$

Let $X(T) = \|v\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^3(T)} + \|b\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^{2.5}(\Gamma))} + \|g\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^{2.5}(\Gamma))}$, then

$$\|b(t)-b_0\|^2_{H^{1.25}(\Gamma)} + \|g(t)-g_0\|^2_{H^{1.25}(\Gamma)} \le C\sqrt{t}\mathcal{P}(X(T))\,, \tag{8.7a}$$

$$\|b(t)-b_0\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + \|g(t)-g_0\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} \le C\mathcal{P}(X(T))\,, \tag{8.7b}$$

for all $0 \le t \le T$. Therefore, by choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough in (8.6),

$$\begin{aligned}\|b\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} \le{}& C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma))})\|h\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} + C\mathcal{P}(X(T))\Big[1+\|h\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\\ &+ C\sqrt{t}\mathcal{P}(X(T))\Big[\|b\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|g\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

and hence by (8.4a) (and also (8.7), (8.8)),

$$\begin{aligned}\|g\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} \le{}& C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|h\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + CP(X(T))\Big[1+\|h\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\\ &+ C\sqrt{t}\mathcal{P}(X(T))\Big[\|b\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|g\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{8.9}$$

With $h = \Big[\mu(a^k_i v^j_{,k} + a^k_j v^i_{,k}) - q\delta_{ij}\Big]a^\ell_j N_\ell$ in mind, we find that

$$\int_0^t \|b(s)\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}ds \le C(t+t^{1/2}+t^{1/4})\mathcal{P}(X(T)) \tag{8.10}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|g(s)\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}ds \le C(1+t^{1/2}+t)\mathcal{P}(X(T))\,. \tag{8.11}$$

8.2. **Estimates with artificial viscosity.** Now we study the full boundary condition

$$\mathcal{L}_m(\eta_\kappa) + \mathcal{L}_b(\eta_\kappa) + \kappa\eta_\kappa'''' = h\,. \tag{8.12}$$

By the Leibnitz rule and (2.1),

$$\eta_\kappa''''\cdot n_\kappa = b_\kappa'' - g_\kappa^{-1}b_\kappa^3 - \frac{3}{4}g_\kappa^{-2}(g_\kappa')^2 b_\kappa + \frac{1}{2}g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa' b_\kappa' + g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'' b_\kappa\,, \tag{8.13a}$$

$$\eta_\kappa''''\cdot\tau = \frac{1}{2}g_\kappa^{-1/2}\Big[g_\kappa''' - 3b_\kappa b_\kappa' - \frac{3}{2}g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa' g_\kappa'' + \frac{3}{4}g_\kappa^{-2}(g_\kappa')^3\Big]\,, \tag{8.13b}$$

where $g_\kappa = \eta_\kappa'\cdot\eta_\kappa'$, $n_\kappa = g_\kappa^{-1/2}\eta_{\kappa,1}\times\eta_{\kappa,2}$ and $b_\kappa = \eta_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}X_\kappa(T) = \sup_{0\le t\le T}&\Big[\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} + \|b_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} + \|v_\kappa'\cdot\eta_\kappa'\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\\ &+ \|v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\Big] + \|v_\kappa\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^3(T)} + \|b_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^{2.5}(\Gamma))} + \|g_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^{2.5}(\Gamma))}\,.\end{aligned}$$

By the same technique, we find using (8.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|b_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} \le{}& C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|h\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} + C\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big[1+\|h\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\\ &+ C\sqrt{t}P(X_\kappa(T))\Big[\|b_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] + C_\epsilon\kappa\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\\ &+ \frac{\epsilon\kappa}{\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))}\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)}\end{aligned}$$

and from the tangential equation (8.3), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \kappa\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{4.5}(\Gamma)} \\
&\le C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|h\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + C\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big[1 + \|h\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] \\
&\quad + C\sqrt{t}P(X_\kappa(T))\Big[\|b_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] + C_\epsilon\kappa\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + C\epsilon\kappa\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{4.5}(\Gamma)} \,,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\eta_\kappa'''' \cdot n_\kappa\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)} \\
&\le C\kappa\Big[\|b_\kappa\|^4_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\|b_\kappa\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)} + \|b_\kappa\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|b_\kappa\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa'\|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\Big] \\
&\le C_\epsilon\kappa\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + \epsilon\kappa\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))}\|\eta_\kappa'\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)} \,,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\eta_\kappa'''' \cdot \tau_\kappa\|^2_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)} \\
&\le C\kappa\Big[\|(b_\kappa - b_0)b_\kappa' + b_0 b_\kappa'\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + \|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{3.25}(\Gamma)} + \|g_\kappa\|^4_{H^{2.25}(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] \\
&\le C\sqrt{t}P(X_\kappa(T))\|b_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + C\kappa\|b_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + C_\epsilon\kappa\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + \epsilon\kappa\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{4.5}(\Gamma)} \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that here $C$and C_ϵ are independent of κ. Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(\int_0^t \|b_\kappa(s)\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}ds \le \Big)\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\int_0^t \|b_\kappa(s)\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}ds \qquad (8.14)\\
\le C(t + t^{1/2} + t^{1/4})P(X_\kappa(T)) + \frac{\kappa}{100}\int_0^t \|\eta_\kappa'(s)\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)}ds
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \Big[\|g_\kappa(s)\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \kappa\|g_\kappa(s)\|^2_{H^{4.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]ds \le C(1 + t^{1/2} + t)\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) \,. \qquad (8.15)$$

8.3. **The estimate of n_κ.** By (2.1),

$$\|n_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} \le C\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) \,, \qquad (8.16a)$$

$$\int_0^t \|n_\kappa\|^2_{H^{3.5}(\Gamma)}ds \le C(1 + t^{1/2} + t)\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))ds \,. \qquad (8.16b)$$

8.4. **Small time results.** In this section, we rewrite some inequalities in Section 7 that will be used in the later discussion. First of all, note that (7.6) implies that

$$\|v\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C\Big[\|u_0\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + t\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big] \,. \qquad (8.17)$$

Since

$$\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} \le 2\Big[\|\mathrm{Id}\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} + t\int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Omega)}ds\Big] \,,$$

(7.2) can be rewritten as

$$\|q_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C\Big[\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + t\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big] \,. \qquad (8.18)$$

Finally, we also have

$$\int_0^t \Big[\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|q_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\Big]ds \le C\int_0^t \|F\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds + CtX_\kappa(T) \qquad (8.19)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \Big[\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|q_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\Big] ds \le C \int_0^t \Big[\|F\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] ds \\ + C(t+\sqrt{t})\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\,, \tag{8.20}$$

where we have used that

$$\|\nabla\eta_\kappa(t) - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|a_\kappa(t) - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le C(t+\sqrt{t})\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) \tag{8.21}$$

to remove the a_κ and η_κ dependence from inequalities (7.4) and (7.5).

9. NONLINEAR ESTIMATES

In the following discussion, we will always assume that $T \le 1$. Therefore, all the time dependent functions appearing in the previous section, such as t, $(t+\sqrt{t})$, $(t+t^{1/2}+t^{1/4})$, etc., can be replaced by t^δ for some fixed $\delta \in (0,1)$.

9.1. **Partition of unity.**

Since Ω is compact, by partition of unity, we can choose two non-negative smooth functions ζ_0 and ζ_1 so that

$$\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega\,; \quad \mathrm{supp}(\zeta_0) \subset\subset \Omega\,; \quad \mathrm{supp}(\zeta_1) \subset\subset \Gamma\times(-\epsilon,\epsilon) := \Omega_1\,.$$

We will assume that $\zeta_1 = 1$ inside the region $\Omega_1' \subset \Omega_1$ and $\zeta_0 = 1$ inside the region $\Omega' \subset \Omega$. Note that then $\zeta_1 = 1$ while $\zeta_0 = 0$ on Γ.

9.2. **Energy estimates for v_κ'' near the boundary.**

Use $(\zeta_1^2 v_\kappa'')''$ as a test function in (7.1), we find that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big[\|\zeta_1 v_\kappa''\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + 2\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}[|(g_\kappa - g_0)''|^2 + |(b_\kappa - b_0)''|^2] dS + \kappa\int_\Gamma |\eta_\kappa^{(4)}|^2 dS\Big] \\ + \frac{\nu}{2}\|\zeta_1 D_{\eta_\kappa} v_\kappa''\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle F, (\zeta_1^2 v_\kappa'')''\rangle + (K_1 + K_2 + \cdots + K_7)\,, \tag{9.1}$$

where $(D_{\eta_\kappa} w)^j_i \equiv (a_\kappa)^k_i w^j_{,k} + (a_\kappa)^k_j w^i_{,k}$ is the nonlinear version of the rate of deformation tensor, and $K_i's$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 = & -2\nu\int_\Omega \zeta_1\Big[(a_\kappa')^k_i (v_\kappa^j)'_{,k} + (a_\kappa')^k_j (v_\kappa^i)'_{,k}\Big](a_\kappa)^k_i \zeta_1 (v_\kappa^j)''_{,k} dx \\ & -\nu\int_\Omega \zeta_1\Big[(a_\kappa'')^k_i (v_\kappa^j)_{,k} + (a_\kappa'')^k_j (v_\kappa^i)_{,k}\Big](a_\kappa)^k_i \zeta_1 (v_\kappa^j)''_{,k} dx \\ & -2\nu\int_\Omega \zeta_1\Big[(a_\kappa')^k_i (v_\kappa^j)_{,k} + (a_\kappa')^k_j (v_\kappa^i)_{,k}\Big](a_\kappa')^k_i \zeta_1 (v_\kappa^j)''_{,k} dx \\ & -2\nu\int_\Omega \zeta_1\Big[(a_\kappa)^k_i (v_\kappa^j)'_{,k} + (a_\kappa)^k_j (v_\kappa^i)'_{,k}\Big](a_\kappa')^k_i \zeta_1 (v_\kappa^j)''_{,k} dx\,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 &= 4\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(g_\kappa - g_0)\Big[\eta_\kappa^{(5)}\cdot v_\kappa' + 4\eta_\kappa^{(4)}\cdot v_\kappa'' + 6\eta_\kappa'''\cdot v_\kappa''' + 4\eta_\kappa''\cdot v_\kappa^{(4)}\Big]dS\,,\\
K_3 &= -8\int_\Gamma (\||\eta_0'|^{-3})'(g_\kappa - g_0)'(\eta_\kappa'\cdot v_\kappa')''dS - 4\int_\Gamma (|\eta_0'|^{-3})''(g_\kappa - g_0)(\eta_\kappa'\cdot v_\kappa')''dS\\
&\quad - 4\int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(g_\kappa - g_0)\Big]''g_0''dS\,,\\
K_4 &= 2\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa - b_0)\Big[(\eta_\kappa''\cdot n_{\kappa t})^{(4)} + n_\kappa^{(4)}\cdot v_\kappa'' + 4n_\kappa'''\cdot v_\kappa''' + 6n_\kappa''\cdot v_\kappa^{(4)}\\
&\qquad\qquad + 4n_\kappa'\cdot v_\kappa^{(5)}\Big]dS\,,\\
K_5 &= -4\int_\Gamma (|\eta_0'|^{-3})'(b_\kappa - b_0)'(v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa)''dS - 2\int_\Gamma (|\eta_0'|^{-3})''(b_\kappa - b_0)(v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa)''dS\,,\\
K_6 &= \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa - b_0)g_\kappa'(v_\kappa^{(5)}\cdot n_\kappa)dS\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_7 = -\int_\Omega q_\kappa(a_\kappa)^j_i(\zeta_1^2 v_\kappa^{i\prime\prime})''_{,j}dx\,.$$

By (8.21),

$$|K_1| \le C(1 + t^\delta\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)))\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Omega)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^3(\Omega)}$$

and hence by Young's inequality and (8.19),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|\int_0^t K_1 ds\Big| &\le C_\epsilon t^\delta\Big[\|F\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big] + \epsilon\int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds\\
&\le C_\epsilon t^\delta\Big[M_0 + \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big] + \epsilon\int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds\,. \qquad (9.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts in space, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_2| &\le C\Big[\|g_\kappa - g_0\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + \|g_\kappa - g_0\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{2.25}(\Gamma)}\Big]\|\eta_\kappa\|_{H^{3.5}(\Gamma)}\\
&\quad + C\Big[\|g_\kappa - g_0\|_{H^{1.25}(\Gamma)}\|\eta_\kappa\|_{H^{3.5}(\Gamma)} + \|g_\kappa - g_0\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\|\eta_\kappa\|_{H^{3.25}(\Gamma)}\Big]\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\Big|\int_0^t K_2 ds\Big| \le C_\epsilon t^\delta\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + \epsilon\int_0^t \Big[\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]ds \qquad (9.3)$$

here we use (8.7a) to estimate $\|g_\kappa - g_0\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}$. As for K_3, integrating by parts for the first two integrals, we find that

$$|K_3| \le C\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\|g_\kappa - g_0\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}\,.$$

Therefore,

$$\Big|\int_0^t K_3 ds\Big| \le C_\epsilon t^\delta\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + \epsilon\int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds\,. \qquad (9.4)$$

Similarly, integrating by parts and $H^{1.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-1.5}(\Gamma)$ or $H^{0.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-0.5}(\Gamma)$ duality pairing lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_4| + |K_5| &\le C\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big[\|b_\kappa - b_0\|_{H^{1.25}(\Gamma)}\|n_\kappa\|_{H^{3.5}(\Gamma)} + \|b_\kappa - b_0\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\|n_\kappa\|_{H^{3.25}(\Gamma)}\\
&\qquad + \|b_\kappa - b_0\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|n_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\Big|\int_0^t (K_4+K_5)ds\Big| \le Ct^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + \frac{\kappa}{100}\int_0^t \|\eta_\kappa'(s)\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)}ds\,, \tag{9.5}$$

here we use (8.7a) and (8.14). By the Leibnitz rule,

$$\begin{aligned} K_6 = &-\int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa-b_0)g_\kappa'\Big]' b''_{\kappa t}dS - \int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa-b_0)g_\kappa'\Big] n_\kappa'\cdot v_\kappa''''dS \\ &+\int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa-b_0)g_\kappa'\Big]'\Big[(\eta_\kappa''\cdot n_{\kappa t})''+v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa''+2v_\kappa'''\cdot n_\kappa'\Big]dS\,. \end{aligned}$$

The worst situation for the last integral is when the derivative outside the bracket is put on g_κ'. In this case, since

$$n_{\kappa t} = -g_\kappa^{-1}(v_\kappa'\cdot n_\kappa)\eta_\kappa' \quad \text{or} \quad \eta_\kappa'\cdot n_{\kappa t} = -\frac{1}{2}g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'(v_\kappa'\cdot n_\kappa)\,, \tag{9.6}$$

the worst term will be

$$\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa-b_0)g_\kappa''\Big[-\frac{1}{2}g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'''(v_\kappa'\cdot n_\kappa)-\frac{1}{2}g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'(v_\kappa'''\cdot n_\kappa)+2v_\kappa'''\cdot n_\kappa'\Big]dS\,.$$

For the first term, since $g_\kappa''g_\kappa'''$ forms a perfect derivative, after integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa-b_0)g_\kappa''\Big[-\frac{1}{2}g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'''(v_\kappa'\cdot n_\kappa)\Big]dS\Big| \\ &\le C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|b_\kappa-b_0\|_{H^{1.25}(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} \\ &\quad + C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|b_\kappa-b_0\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ &\quad + C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|b_\kappa-b_0\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining two terms, we use $H^{0.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-0.5}(\Gamma)$ duality pairing and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa-b_0)g_\kappa''\Big[-\frac{1}{2}g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'(v_\kappa'''\cdot n_\kappa)+2v_\kappa'''\cdot n_\kappa'\Big]dS\Big| \\ &\le C\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|b_\kappa-b_0\|_{H^1(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|g_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (8.7) and (8.14), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\int_0^t\int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa-b_0)g_\kappa'\Big]'\Big[(\eta_\kappa''\cdot n_{\kappa t})''+v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa''+2v_\kappa'''\cdot n_\kappa'\Big]dSds\Big| \\ &\le C_\epsilon t^\delta P(X_\kappa(T)) + \frac{\kappa}{100}\int_0^t \|\eta_\kappa'(s)\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)}ds + \epsilon\int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds\,. \end{aligned}$$

For the second integral of K_6, integrating by parts and using $H^{0.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-0.5}(\Gamma)$ duality pairing, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\int_0^t\int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa-b_0)g_\kappa'\Big]n_\kappa'\cdot v_\kappa''''dSds\Big| \\ &\le C_\epsilon t^\delta P(X_\kappa(T)) + \frac{\kappa}{100}\int_0^t \|\eta_\kappa'(s)\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)}ds + \epsilon\int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds\,. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the first integral of K_6, we time integrate it first and then integrate by parts in both space and time to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa - b_0) g_\kappa' b_{\kappa t}''' dS ds \\
&= -\int_0^t \int_\Gamma \Big[(|\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa')'(b_\kappa - b_0) + |\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa' (b_\kappa - b_0)'\Big] b_{\kappa t}'' dS ds \\
&= -\Big[\int_\Gamma \Big((|\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa')'(b_\kappa - b_0) + |\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa' (b_\kappa - b_0)'\Big) b_\kappa'' dS\Big](t) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_\Gamma \Big[(|\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa')'(b_\kappa - b_0) + |\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa' (b_\kappa - b_0)'\Big]_t b_\kappa'' dS ds\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_0^t \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa - b_0) g_\kappa' b_{\kappa t}''' dS ds\Big| \\
&\le \Big|\Big[\int_\Gamma \Big((|\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa')'(b_\kappa - b_0) + |\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa' (b_\kappa - b_0)'\Big) b_\kappa'' dS\Big](t)\Big| \\
&\quad + \Big|\int_0^t \int_\Gamma \Big[(|\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa')'(b_\kappa - b_0) + |\eta_0'|^{-3} g_\kappa^{-1} g_\kappa' (b_\kappa - b_0)'\Big]_t b_\kappa'' dS ds\Big| \\
&\le C t^\delta P(X_\kappa(T)) + \frac{\kappa}{100}\int_0^t \|\eta_\kappa'(s)\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)} ds\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above,

$$\Big|\int_0^t K_6 ds\Big| \le C t^\delta P(X_\kappa(T)) + \frac{\kappa}{100}\int_0^t \|\eta_\kappa'(s)\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)} ds + \epsilon \int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} ds\,. \tag{9.7}$$

Remark 1. *In the estimate of K_6, the fact that $n = 2$ is necessary to use the Sobolev embedding $L^4(\Gamma) \subset H^{0.25}(\Gamma)$ with*

$$\|h\|_{H^{0.25}(\Gamma)} \le C\|h\|_{L^4(\Gamma)} \tag{9.8}$$

and

$$\langle fg, h\rangle_\Gamma \le C\|f\|_{H^1(\Gamma)}\|g\|_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)}\|h\|_{H^{-0.5}(\Gamma)} \tag{9.9}$$

for some constant C. These inequalities no longer holds if $n = 3$.

Now we turn to the estimate of K_7. By the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_\kappa)_i^j(\zeta_1^2 v_\kappa^{i\prime\prime})_{,j}'' = &-\Big[(a_\kappa'')_i^j(\zeta_1^2 v^i_{\kappa,j}) + 2(a_\kappa')_i^j(\zeta_1^2 v^i_{\kappa,j})' + (a_\kappa)_i^j(\zeta_1^2)'' v^i_{\kappa,j} + 2(a_\kappa)_i^j(\zeta_1^2)' v^{i\prime}_{\kappa,j}\Big]'' \\
&- (a_\kappa'')_i^j(\zeta_1^2 v^{i\prime\prime}_{\kappa,j}) - 2(a_\kappa')_i^j(\zeta_1^2)' v^{i\prime}_{\kappa,j} - 2(a_\kappa')_i^j \zeta_1^2 v^{i\prime\prime}_{\kappa,j} + 2(a_\kappa)_i^j(\zeta_1\zeta_{1,j} v_\kappa^{i\prime\prime})''\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and inequality (8.21), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_\Omega q_\kappa (a_\kappa)_i^j(\zeta_1^2 v_\kappa^{i\prime\prime})_{,j}'' dx\Big| \\
&\le C\|a_\kappa\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\Big[\|q_\kappa\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Omega)} + \|q_\kappa\|_{H^{1.5}(\Omega)}\|\zeta_1 v_{\kappa,j}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\Big] \\
&\le C_\epsilon(1 + t^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big[\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|q_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\Big] + \epsilon\Big[\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|q_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\Big]\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (8.19) and (8.20),

$$\Big|\int_0^t K_7 ds\Big| \le C_\epsilon t^\delta\Big[M_0 + \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big] + \epsilon\int_0^t \Big[\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}\Big] ds\,. \tag{9.10}$$

Since

$$\Big|\int_0^t \langle F, (\zeta_1^2 v_\kappa'')''\rangle ds\Big| \le C_\epsilon \int_0^t \|F\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} ds + \epsilon \int_0^t \|v\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} ds\,,$$

time integrating (9.1) together with inequalities (9.2), (9.3), (9.4), (9.5), (9.7) and (9.10), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\Big[\|v_\kappa''\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1')} + \|g_\kappa - g_0\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} + \|b_\kappa - b_0\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} + \kappa\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^4(\Gamma)}\Big] \qquad (9.11)\\ &+ \int_0^T \|v_\kappa''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')} ds \le C_\epsilon t^\delta\Big[M_0 + \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big] + \epsilon\int_0^t \Big[\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}\Big] ds\,.\end{aligned}$$

9.3. **Energy estimates for $v_{\kappa t}$.** Time differentiate (7.1) and then use $v_{\kappa t}$ as the test function, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big[\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}[8|v_\kappa'\cdot\eta_\kappa'|^2 + 2|v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa|^2] dS + \kappa\int_\Gamma |{v_\kappa}^{(4)}|^2 dS\Big]\\ &+ \frac{\nu}{2}\|D_{\eta_\kappa} v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle F_t, v_{\kappa t}\rangle + L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 + L_5\,, \qquad (9.12)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}L_1 =& -\nu\int_\Omega (D_{\eta_\kappa} v_\kappa)(a_{\kappa t})_i^k v^j_{\kappa t,k} dx - \nu\int_\Omega \Big[(a_{\kappa t})_i^k v^j_{\kappa,k} + (a_{\kappa t})_j^k v^i_{\kappa,k}\Big] {a_\kappa}_i^k v^j_{\kappa t,k} dx\,,\\ L_2 =& -2\int_\Gamma (g_\kappa - g_0)(v_\kappa'\cdot {v_\kappa}_t') dS + 8\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\eta_\kappa'\cdot v_\kappa')(v_\kappa'\cdot v_\kappa') dS\,,\\ L_3 =&\ 2\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa)(v_\kappa''\cdot n_{\kappa t}) dS - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\eta_\kappa''\cdot n_{\kappa t})(v_{\kappa t}''\cdot n_\kappa) dS\\ & - 2\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa - b_0)(v_{\kappa t}''\cdot n_{\kappa t}) dS\,,\\ L_4 =& -\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[g_\kappa^{-1}(b_\kappa - b_0) g_\kappa' n_\kappa\Big]_t \cdot v_{\kappa t}' dS\,,\\ L_5 =& -\int_\Omega q_{\kappa t} {a_\kappa}_i^j v^i_{\kappa t,j} dx - \int_\Omega q_\kappa (a_{\kappa t})_i^j v^i_{\kappa t,j} dx\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_\kappa = (\nabla\eta_\kappa)^{-1}$, $(a_{\kappa t})_i^j = -{a_\kappa}_k^j v_{\kappa,\ell}^k {a_\kappa}_i^\ell$ and $\|a_\kappa\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le \mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})$. Therefore,

$$\Big|\int_0^t L_1 ds\Big| \le C_\epsilon t^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + \epsilon\int_0^t \|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} ds\,. \qquad (9.13)$$

Similar to the estimates in the previous section, integrating by parts (if necessary) and $H^{1.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-1.5}(\Gamma)$ or $H^{0.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-0.5}(\Gamma)$ duality pairing imply that

$$\begin{aligned}|L_2| \le\ & C\Big[\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\|v_{\kappa t}\|_{H^{0.5}(\Gamma)} + \|v_\kappa\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}\|v_{\kappa t}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}\Big]\|g_\kappa - g_0\|_{H^{1.25}(\Gamma)}\\ & + C\|\eta_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Gamma)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\end{aligned}$$

and hence by (8.7a),

$$\Big|\int_0^t L_2 ds\Big| \le C t^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\,. \qquad (9.14)$$

For the first integral of L_3, we have

$$\Big|\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa)(v_\kappa''\cdot n_{\kappa t}) dS\Big| \le C_\epsilon \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|n_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^4(\Gamma)} + \epsilon\|v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\,,$$

while for the third integral of L_3, by integrating by parts,

$$\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa - b_0)(v''_{\kappa t}\cdot n_{\kappa t})dS = -\int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa - b_0)n_{\kappa t}\Big]'\cdot v'_{\kappa t}dS$$
$$= -\int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa - b_0)\Big]' n_{\kappa t}\cdot v'_{\kappa t}dS - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa - b_0)n'_{\kappa t}\cdot v'_{\kappa t}dS$$

and hence by (9.9) and (8.7a),

$$\Big|\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa - b_0)(v''_{\kappa t}\cdot n_{\kappa t})dS\Big| \le C_\epsilon \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big[1 + t^\delta \|v''_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')}\Big] + \epsilon\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\,.$$

For the second integral of L_3,

$$\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\eta''_\kappa\cdot n_{\kappa t})(v''_{\kappa t}\cdot n_\kappa)dS = \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\mathrm{Id}''\cdot n_{\kappa t})(v''_{\kappa t}\cdot n_\kappa)dS \qquad (\equiv L_{31})$$
$$+\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\int_0^\cdot v''_\kappa ds\cdot n_{\kappa t})(v''_\kappa\cdot n_\kappa)_t dS \qquad (\equiv L_{32})$$
$$-\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\int_0^\cdot v''_\kappa ds\cdot n_{\kappa t})(v''_\kappa\cdot n_{\kappa t})dS\,. \qquad (\equiv L_{33})$$

By $H^{1.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-1.5}(\Gamma)$ duality pairing,

$$|L_{31}| \le C_\epsilon \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\|v''_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')} + \epsilon\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

and standard Hölder's inequality implies

$$|L_{33}| \le C\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\|v_\kappa\|_{H^3(\Omega)}\int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|_{H^3(\Omega)}ds \le C\sqrt{t}\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\|v_\kappa\|_{H^3(\Omega)}\,.$$

For L_{32}, integrating in time and integrating by parts in time leads to

$$\int_0^t L_{32}ds = \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\int_0^t v''_\kappa ds\cdot n_{\kappa t})(v''_\kappa\cdot n_\kappa)dS - \int_0^t\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(v''_\kappa\cdot n_{\kappa t})(v''_\kappa\cdot n_\kappa)dSds$$
$$-\int_0^t\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\int_0^\cdot v''_\kappa ds\cdot n_{\kappa tt})(v''_\kappa\cdot n_\kappa)dSds\,.$$

The first two integrals can be bounded by

$$C\sqrt{t}\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + C\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\int_0^t \|v''_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega_1')}ds\,.$$

The worst term in the last integral is

$$\int_0^t\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\int_0^\cdot v''_\kappa ds\cdot \eta'_\kappa)g_\kappa^{-1}(v'_{\kappa t}\cdot n_\kappa)(v''_\kappa\cdot n_\kappa)dSds\,,$$

and integrating by parts, the worst term becomes

$$\int_0^t\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\int_0^\cdot v'''_\kappa ds\cdot \eta'_\kappa)g_\kappa^{-1}(v_{\kappa t}\cdot n_\kappa)(v''_\kappa\cdot n_\kappa)dSds$$
$$= \int_0^t\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}[(\eta'''_\kappa - \mathrm{Id}''')\cdot \eta'_\kappa]g_\kappa^{-1}(v_{\kappa t}\cdot n_\kappa)(v''_\kappa\cdot n_\kappa)dSds\,. \qquad (9.15)$$

Standard Hölder's inequality and interpolation inequalities show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_0^t\int_\Gamma|\eta_0'|^{-3}[(\eta_\kappa'''-\mathrm{Id}''')\cdot\eta_\kappa']g_\kappa^{-1}(v_{\kappa t}\cdot n_\kappa)(v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa)dSds\Big|\\&\le\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\int_0^t(1+\|\eta_\kappa\|_{H^{3.25}(\Gamma)})\|v_{\kappa t}\|_{H^{0.25}(\Gamma)}ds\\&\le C_\epsilon t^\delta\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))+\epsilon\int_0^t\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Estimates for L_{31}, L_{32} and L_{33} leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_0^t\int_\Gamma|\eta_0'|^{-3}(\eta_\kappa''\cdot n_{\kappa t})(v_{\kappa t}''\cdot n_\kappa)dSds\Big|\\&\le C_\epsilon t^\delta\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))+C_\epsilon\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\int_0^t\|v_\kappa''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')}ds+\epsilon\int_0^t\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}ds\,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t L_3ds\Big|&\le C_\epsilon t^\delta\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))+C_\epsilon\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\int_0^t\|v_\kappa''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')}ds\\&\quad+\epsilon\int_0^t\|v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}ds+\epsilon\int_0^t\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}ds+\frac{\kappa}{100}\int_0^t\|\eta_\kappa'\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)}ds\,.\end{aligned}\tag{9.16}$$

L_4 can be rewritten as

$$L_4=-\int_\Gamma|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa-b_0)(g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'n_\kappa)_t\cdot v_{\kappa t}'dS-\int_\Gamma|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa-b_0)_tg_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'n_\kappa\cdot v_{\kappa t}'dS\,.$$

The presence of $(b_\kappa-b_0)$ and $H^{0.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-0.5}(\Gamma)$ duality pairing imply that

$$\Big|\int_0^t\int_\Gamma|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa-b_0)(g_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'n_\kappa)_t\cdot v_{\kappa t}'dSds\Big|\le Ct^\delta\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\,.\tag{9.17}$$

For the second integral, since $b_{\kappa t}=v_\kappa''\cdot n_\kappa+\eta_\kappa''\cdot n_{\kappa t}$, by $H^{0.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-0.5}(\Gamma)$ duality pairing,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_0^t\int_\Gamma|\eta_0'|^{-3}(b_\kappa-b_0)_tg_\kappa^{-1}g_\kappa'(n_\kappa\cdot v_{\kappa t}')dSds\Big|\\&\le C\int_0^t\mathcal{P}(\|\eta\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})(1+\|g_\kappa\|_{H^2(\Gamma)})\|v_\kappa''\|_{H^1(\Omega_1')}\|v_{\kappa t}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}ds\\&\le C_\epsilon\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\int_0^t\|v''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')}ds+\epsilon\int_0^t\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}ds\,.\end{aligned}\tag{9.18}$$

Combining (9.17) and (9.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^t L_4ds\Big|&\le Ct^\delta\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))+C_\epsilon\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\int_0^t\|v''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')}ds\\&\quad+\epsilon\int_0^t\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}ds\,.\end{aligned}\tag{9.19}$$

For L_5, first note that by $(a_{\kappa t})^j_i=-(a_\kappa)^j_kv_{\kappa,\ell}^{\,k}(a_\kappa)^\ell_i$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_\Omega q_\kappa(a_{\kappa t})^j_iv^i_{\kappa t,j}dx\Big|\le\|q_\kappa\|_{H^{0.5}(\Omega)}\|a_\kappa\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|v_\kappa\|_{H^{1.5}(\Omega)}\|v_{\kappa t}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\\&\le C_\epsilon\mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)})\|q_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+\epsilon\Big[\|q_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}+\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\Big]\,.\end{aligned}\tag{9.20}$$

By the "divergence free" condition, $(a_\kappa)^j_i v^i_{\kappa t,j} = -(a_{\kappa t})^j_i v^i_{\kappa,j}$. Time integrating the first integral,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_\Omega q_{\kappa t}(a_\kappa)^j_i v^i_{\kappa t,j} dxds \\
&= -\int_0^t \int_\Omega q_\kappa \Big[(a_\kappa)^j_k v_{\kappa,\ell}{}^k (a_\kappa)^\ell_i v^i_{\kappa,j}\Big]_t dxds + \int_\Omega q_\kappa(s)(a_{\kappa t}(s))^j_i v^i_{\kappa,j}(s)dx\Big|_{s=0}^{s=t} .
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to (9.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|\int_0^t \int_\Omega q_\kappa \Big[(a_\kappa)^j_k v_{\kappa,\ell}{}^k (a_\kappa)^\ell_i v^i_{\kappa,j}\Big]_t dxds\Big| &\le C_\epsilon \mathcal{P}(\|\eta_\kappa\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}) \int_0^t \|q_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds \\
&\quad + \epsilon \int_0^t \Big[\|q_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\Big] ds .
\end{aligned} \tag{9.21}$$

For the boundary term, when $s = 0$, it is bounded by a constant independent of κ, say M_0. When $s = t$, first note that by $(a_{\kappa t})^j_i = -a_\kappa{}^j_k v_{\kappa,\ell}{}^k a_\kappa{}^\ell_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(a_{\kappa t})^j_i(t) - (a_{\kappa t})^j_i(0)\|^2_{L^4(\Omega)} \\
&\le \|(a_\kappa{}^j_k - \delta^j_k) v^k_{\kappa,\ell} a_\kappa{}^\ell_i\|^2_{L^4(\Omega)} + \|(v^j_{\kappa,\ell} - u^j_{0,\ell}) a_\kappa{}^\ell_i\|^2_{L^4(\Omega)} + \|u^j_{0,\ell}(a_\kappa{}^\ell_i - \delta^\ell_i)\|^2_{L^4(\Omega)} \\
&\le C(t + \sqrt{t})\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by adding and subtracting $\int_\Omega q_\kappa(t)(a_{\kappa t}(0))^j_i v^i_{\kappa,j}(t)dx$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_\Omega q_\kappa(t)(a_{\kappa t}(t))^j_i v^i_{\kappa,j}(t)dx\Big| \\
&\le \Big|\int_\Omega q_\kappa(t)(a_{\kappa t}(t) - a_{\kappa t}(0))^j_i v^i_{\kappa,j}(t)dx\Big| + \Big|\int_\Omega q_\kappa(t) u^j_{0,i} v^i_{\kappa,j} dx\Big| \\
&\le Ct^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + C_\epsilon\Big[\|u_0\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \sqrt{t}\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big] + \epsilon\|q\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9.22}$$

where (8.17) is used to estimate $\|v\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}$. Combining (9.20), (9.21) and (9.22), by (8.18) and (8.19) we obtain

$$\Big|\int_0^t L_5 ds\Big| \le C_\epsilon t^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + \epsilon \int_0^t \|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} ds + \epsilon\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} . \tag{9.23}$$

Time integrating (9.12), choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough together with inequalities (9.13), (9.14), (9.16), (9.19) and (9.23), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T} \Big[\|v_{\kappa t}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v'_\kappa \cdot \eta'_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \|v''_\kappa \cdot n_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \kappa\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^4(\Gamma)}\Big] \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \|v_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} ds \le M_0 + CT^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + C\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) \int_0^t \|v''_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Omega'_1)} ds \\
&\qquad + \frac{\kappa}{100} \int_0^T \|\eta'_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)} ds ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9.24}$$

for some constant M_0 depending on $\|u_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}$, $\|\mathrm{Id}\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)}$, $\|F\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}$ and $\|F_t\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$.

9.4. **κ-independent estimates.** Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ be the left-hand side quantities of (9.11) and (9.24), respectively. Then

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \le C_\epsilon T^\delta \Big[M_0 + \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big] + \epsilon \mathcal{E}_2 + \epsilon \int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} ds\,, \tag{9.25a}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_2 \le M_0 + C T^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + C\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\mathcal{E}_1 + \frac{\kappa}{100}\mathcal{E}_1\,. \tag{9.25b}$$

By (9.25a), for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough (but not fixed yet, say $C\epsilon\mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) \le 0.5$), we have

$$\mathcal{E}_2 \le M_0 + C T^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T)) + \epsilon \int_0^t \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \frac{\kappa}{100}\mathcal{E}_1\,. \tag{9.26}$$

Finally, since

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} &\le C_\epsilon \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|v_\kappa\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} \\ &\le C_\epsilon \Big[\|u_0\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \mathcal{E}_2\Big] + \epsilon \int_0^t \|v\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} ds \end{aligned} \tag{9.27}$$

combining (8.14), (8.15), (8.20), (9.25a), (9.26) and (9.27), and choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we have

$$X_\kappa(T) \le C\Big[M_0 + T^\delta \mathcal{P}(X_\kappa(T))\Big]\,. \tag{9.28}$$

X_κ is clearly continuous in its variable. By Lemma 5.3, there is a constant M independent of κ and $T_1 \le T_\kappa$ so that

$$X_\kappa(t) \le M \qquad \forall\ t \in [0, T_1]\,.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T_1 = T_\kappa$ (by setting T_κ equaling T_1). Let $X_{0.5}(t) \le M$ for $t \in [0, T_{0.5}]$. For $\kappa < 0.5$, say, $\kappa = 0.1$, $X_{0.1}(t) \le M$ for $t \in [0, T_{0.1}]$ where $T_{0.1}$ is in general smaller than $T_{0.5}$. Since this estimate is independent of κ, we are able to extend the time interval $[0, T_{0.1}]$ in which the fixed point $v_{0.1}$, $\eta_{0.1}$, $g_{0.1}$ and $b_{0.1}$ exist. This extension will proceed until $T_{0.1}$ hits $T_{0.5}$, and hence $X_{0.1}(t) \le M$ for $t \in [0, T_{0.5}]$. This argument holds for all $\kappa < 0.5$, so we conclude that (with $T \equiv T_{0.5}$)

$$X_\kappa(t) \le M \qquad \forall\ t \in [0, T], \quad \kappa \in (0, 0.5]\,. \tag{9.29}$$

Remark 2. *By (8.20), we can also include* $\|q_\kappa\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}$ *in* $X_\kappa(T)$.

9.5. **Weak limits of v_κ as $\kappa \to 0$.** By (9.29), there exist v (and v_t) so that

$$v_{\kappa_i} \rightharpoonup v \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^3(\Omega))\,, \tag{9.30a}$$

$$v_{\kappa_i} \to v \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))\,, \tag{9.30b}$$

$$v_{\kappa_i t} \rightharpoonup v_t \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))\,, \tag{9.30c}$$

$$v_{\kappa_i t} \to v_t \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))\,, \tag{9.30d}$$

for some subsequence v_{κ_i}. Also, there exists η (the associated Lagrangian variable of v), g, b and n so that

$$\eta_{\kappa_i} \to \eta \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^3(\Gamma)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))\,, \tag{9.31a}$$

$$g_{\kappa_i} \to g \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^2(\Gamma))\,, \tag{9.31b}$$

$$b_{\kappa_i} \to b \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^2(\Gamma))\,, \tag{9.31c}$$

$$n_{\kappa_i} \to n \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^2(\Gamma))\,. \tag{9.31d}$$

Since η_{κ_i} converges a.e. to η in $H^3(\Gamma)$, we have that $g = |\eta'|^2$, $b = \eta'' \cdot n$, and $n = (-\eta_2', \eta_1')/|\eta'|$. Also, since $a_{\kappa_i} \to a$ strongly in $L^2(0,T; H^1(\Omega))$, by (7.1) we conclude that v, q, η, g, b satisfy (2.3).

10. UNIQUENESS

Let v and $\tilde{v}$ in $\mathcal{V}^5(T)$ be two solutions to (2.3) (q and $\tilde{q} \in L^2(0,T; H^4(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T; H^3(\Omega))$, q_t and $\tilde{q}_t \in L^2(0,T; H^2(\Omega))$, g, $\tilde{g}$, b, $\tilde{b} \in L^2(0,T; H^{4.5}(\Gamma)) \cap L^\infty(0,T; H^4(\Gamma))$), and $w = v - \tilde{v}$, $r = q - \tilde{q}$, $\mathcal{E} = \eta - \tilde{\eta}$. Then w, r, $\mathcal{E}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
w_t^i - \nu(a_\ell^j a_\ell^k w^i_{,k})_{,j} &= -\, a_i^j r_{,j} + (\delta F)^i && \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega\,, && (10.1\text{a})\\
a_i^j w^i_{,j} &= \delta a && \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega\,, && (10.1\text{b})\\
\Big[\nu(a_i^k w^j_{,k} + a_j^k w^i_{,k}) - r\delta_{ij}\Big] a_j^\ell N_\ell &= \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{E}) + \delta L_1 + \delta L_2 && \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma\,, && (10.1\text{c})\\
&\quad + \delta L_3 + \delta L_4 && && \\
w(0,x) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega\,, && (10.1\text{d})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta F &= f\circ\eta - f\circ\tilde{\eta} + \nu[(a_\ell^k a_\ell^j - \tilde{a}_\ell^k \tilde{a}_\ell^j)\tilde{v}^i_{,j}]_{,k} + \nu[(a_\ell^k a_i^j - \tilde{a}_\ell^k \tilde{a}_i^j)\tilde{v}^\ell_{,j}]_{,k} - (a_i^k - \tilde{a}_i^k)\tilde{q}_{,k}\,,\\
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{E}) &= -\,4\Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(\mathcal{E}\cdot\eta')\eta'\Big]' + 2\Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(\mathcal{E}''\cdot n)n\Big]''\,,\\
\delta a &= -\,(a_i^j - \tilde{a}_i^j)\tilde{v}^i_{,j}\,,\\
\delta L_1 &= -\,\nu\Big[(a_i^k - \tilde{a}_i^k)\tilde{v}^j_{,k} + (a_j^k - \tilde{a}_j^k)\tilde{v}^i_{,k}\Big] a_j^\ell N_\ell - \nu\Big[\tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{v}^j_{,k} + \tilde{a}_j^k \tilde{v}^i_{,k}\Big](a_j^\ell - \tilde{a}_j^\ell)N_\ell\\
&\quad - \tilde{q}(a_i^\ell - \tilde{a}_i^\ell)N_\ell\,,\\
\delta L_2 &= 4\Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}[(\tilde{\eta}'\cdot\mathcal{E}')\eta' + (\tilde{g} - g_0)\mathcal{E}']\Big]'\,,\\
\delta L_3 &= 2\Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}[\tilde{\eta}''\cdot(n - \tilde{n})n + (\tilde{b} - b_0)(n - \tilde{n})]\Big]''\,,\\
\delta L_4 &= \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}[(g^{-1} - \tilde{g}^{-1})(b - b_0)g'n + \tilde{g}^{-1}(b - \tilde{b})g'n + \tilde{g}(\tilde{b} - b_0)(g - \tilde{g})'n\\
&\qquad\qquad + \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{b} - b_0)\tilde{g}'(n - \tilde{n})]\Big]'\,,
\end{aligned}$$

with the following inequalities from [5]:

$$\|\delta a\|^2_{H^k(\Omega)} + \|\delta F\|^2_{H^k(\Omega)} \le Ct\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)}ds\,, \quad \text{for } k = 0,\ 1,\ 2, \tag{10.2a}$$

$$\|(\delta a)_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|(\delta F)_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C\sqrt{t}\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^3(\Omega)}ds\,, \tag{10.2b}$$

$$\|\delta L_1\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + \|(\delta L_1)_t\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \le Ct\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds\,. \tag{10.2c}$$

Furthermore, w, r and $\mathcal{E}$ satisfy the following variational form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle w_t, \phi\rangle + \frac{\nu}{2}\langle a_i^k w^j_{,k} + a_j^k w^j_{,k}, a_i^k \phi^i_{,k} + a_j^k \phi^j_{,k}\rangle + \langle r, a_i^j \phi^i_{,j}\rangle + \int_\Gamma (\delta L_1)\cdot \phi dS \\
&+ \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[4(g-\tilde g)(\eta'\cdot\phi') + 2(b-\tilde b)(\phi''\cdot n)\Big]dS \\
&+ \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[4(\tilde g-g_0)(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\phi') + 2(\tilde b-b_0)(\phi''\cdot(n-\tilde n))\Big]dS \qquad (10.3)\\
&- \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(g^{-1}-\tilde g^{-1})(b-b_0)g'(\phi'\cdot n)dS - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde g^{-1}(b-\tilde b)g'(\phi'\cdot n)dS \\
&- \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde g^{-1}(\tilde b-b_0)(g-\tilde g)'(\phi'\cdot n)dS - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde g^{-1}(\tilde b-b_0)\tilde g'(\phi'\cdot(n-\tilde n))dS \\
&= \langle \delta F, \phi\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in H^{1;2}(\Omega;\Gamma)$.

10.1. **Some a priori estimates.** Similar to (7.4) and (7.5), solving a Stokes problem (formed from (10.1a) and (10.1b)) gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|r\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} &\le C\Big[\|\delta F\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\delta a\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] \\
&\le C\Big[\|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + t\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}ds\Big] \qquad (10.4)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|r\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} \le C\Big[\|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + t\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds\Big]. \qquad (10.5)$$

For T small enough, (10.5) implies that

$$\int_0^t \Big[\|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|r\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\Big]ds \le C\int_0^t \Big[\|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]ds\,. \qquad (10.6)$$

We can also setup elliptic equations for $b-\tilde b$ and $g-\tilde g$ and obtain the following elliptic estimates for $b-\tilde b$ and $g-\tilde g$ (where we use (10.4) and (10.5) to estimate the norm of r):

$$\|b-\tilde b\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} \le Ct\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds + C\Big[\|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\,, \qquad (10.7a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b-\tilde b\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} &\le Ct\Big[\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds + \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|g-\tilde g\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] \qquad (10.7b)\\
&\quad + C\Big[\|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\,,\\
\|g-\tilde g\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} &\le C\Big[\|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|b-\tilde b\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|\tilde b-b_0\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\|b-\tilde b\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\\
&\quad + Ct\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds\,. \qquad (10.7c)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (10.7b) in (10.7c), for T small enough, $\|g-\tilde g\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}$ dependence on the right-hand side can be absorbed by the left-hand side of (10.7c), so we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \|g-\tilde{g}\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}ds \le C\int_0^t \Big[\|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]ds\,,\\
&\int_0^t \|b-\tilde{b}\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}ds \le C\int_0^t \Big[t\|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + t\|w\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]ds\,.
\end{aligned}$$

By the identity $\eta'' = g^{-1}(\eta''\cdot\eta')\eta' + (\eta''\cdot n)n = \dfrac{1}{2}g^{-1}g'\eta' + bn$, we find that

$$\|\mathcal{E}\|^2_{H^{3.5}(\Gamma)} \le C\Big[\|g-\tilde{g}\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|\mathcal{E}\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|b-\tilde{b}\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]$$

and hence

$$\int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}\|^2_{H^{3.5}(\Gamma)}ds \le C\int_0^t \Big[\|w\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\Big]ds\,. \tag{10.8}$$

By (2.1b),

$$\|n-\tilde{n}\|^2_{H^s(\Gamma)} \le C\Big[\|\mathcal{E}\|^2_{H^s(\Gamma)} + \|b-\tilde{b}\|^2_{H^{s-1}(\Gamma)}\Big] \quad \text{for } s>1.5\,.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}''\cdot n = (b-\tilde{b}) + \tilde{\eta}''\cdot(n-\tilde{n})$ and $\tilde{\eta}\in L^\infty(0,T;H^{4.5}(\Gamma))$ by assumption, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}''\cdot n\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}ds \le C\int_0^t \Big[\|b-\tilde{b}\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|n-\tilde{n}\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]ds\\
&\le Ct\int_0^t \Big[\|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]ds + C\int_0^t \Big[\|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]ds\,. \qquad (10.9)
\end{aligned}$$

10.2. **Estimates for w_t.** We study the time differentiated problem first. Time differentiating (10.3) and then use w_t as a test function, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + 2\||\eta_0'|^{-3/2}w'\cdot\eta'\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \||\eta_0'|^{-3/2}w''\cdot n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\Big] + \frac{\nu}{2}\|D_\eta w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&= -\frac{\nu}{2}\langle (a^k_i)_t w^j_{,k} + (a^k_j)_t w^j_{,k}, (\mathrm{Def}_\eta\, w_t)^j_i\rangle - \frac{\nu}{2}\langle (\mathrm{Def}_\eta\, w)^j_i, (a^k_i)_t w^i_{t,k} + (a^k_j)_t w^j_{t,k}\rangle \\
&\quad - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[(\tilde v'\cdot\mathcal{E}')(\tilde\eta'\cdot w')_t - (w'\cdot\eta')(v'\cdot w') - (\tilde v'\cdot\mathcal{E}')(v'\cdot w')\Big]dS \\
&\quad - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[-(w''\cdot n)(w''\cdot n_t) + [\tilde v''\cdot(n-\tilde n) + (\eta''\cdot n_t) - (\tilde\eta''\cdot\tilde n_t)](w_t''\cdot n)\Big]dS \\
&\quad - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[4(g-\tilde g)(v'\cdot w_t') + 2(b-\tilde b)(w_t''\cdot n_t)\Big]dS \\
&\quad - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[8(\tilde v'\cdot\tilde\eta')(\mathcal{E}'\cdot w_t') + 2(\tilde v''\cdot\tilde n + \tilde\eta''\cdot\tilde n_t)(w_t''\cdot(n-\tilde n))\Big]dS \\
&\quad - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[4(\tilde g - g_0)(w'\cdot w_t') + 2(\tilde b - b_0)(w_t''\cdot(n_t-\tilde n_t))\Big]dS \\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[(g^{-1}-\tilde g^{-1})_t(b-b_0) + (g^{-1}-\tilde g^{-1})b_t\Big]g'(w_t'\cdot n)dS \qquad (10.10) \\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(g^{-1}-\tilde g^{-1})(b-b_0)\Big[g_t'(w_t'\cdot n) + g'(w_t'\cdot n_t)\Big]dS \\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[(\tilde g^{-1})_t(b-\tilde b) + \tilde g^{-1}(b-\tilde b)_t\Big]g'(w_t'\cdot n)dS \\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde g^{-1}(b-\tilde b)\Big[g_t'(w_t'\cdot n) + g'(w_t'\cdot n_t)\Big]dS \\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[(\tilde g^{-1})_t(\tilde b - b_0) + \tilde g^{-1}\tilde b_t\Big](g-\tilde g)'(w_t'\cdot n)dS \\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde g^{-1}(\tilde b - b_0)\Big[(g-\tilde g)_t'(w_t'\cdot n) + (g-\tilde g)'(w_t'\cdot n_t)\Big]dS \\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[(\tilde g^{-1})_t(\tilde b - b_0) + \tilde g^{-1}\tilde b_t\Big]\tilde g'(w_t'\cdot(n-\tilde n))dS \\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde g^{-1}(\tilde b - b_0)\Big[\tilde g_t'(w_t'\cdot(n-\tilde n)) + \tilde g'(w_t'\cdot(n-\tilde n)_t)\Big]dS \\
&\quad - \int_\Gamma (\delta L_1)_t\cdot w_t dS + \langle(\delta F)_t, w_t\rangle - \langle r_t, a^j_i w^i_{t,j}\rangle - \langle r, (a^j_i)_t w^i_{t,j}\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

With $\tilde v_{tt} \in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$ (so that v_{tt} has trace on the boundary), similar to the computation of estimating E_1 in page 48 of [5], we find that

$$\Big|\langle r_t, a^j_i w^i_{t,j}\rangle + \langle r, (a^j_i)_t w^i_{t,j}\rangle\Big| \le C_\epsilon\|r\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon\Big[\|r\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\Big]\,.$$

For v and $\tilde v$ and the associated metric tensor, the second fundamental form and the pressure in the space described in the beginning of this section, by (10.2b),

$$|\langle(\delta F)_t, w_t\rangle| \le C_\epsilon \int_0^t \|w\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^3(T)}ds + \epsilon\Big[\|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\Big]\,.$$

By (10.2c) and interpolation inequalities,

$$\Big|\int_\Gamma (\delta L_1)_t\cdot w_t dS\Big| \le Ct\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}ds + C_\epsilon\|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon\|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\,.$$

It is also clear that the first two terms (due to viscosity) on the right-hand side is bounded by $C\|w\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\|w_t\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$, and by Young's inequality,

$$\Big|\langle (a_i^k)_t w^j_{,k} + (a_j^k)_t w^j_{,k}, a_i^k w^i_{t,k} + a_j^k w^j_{t,k}\rangle + \langle a_i^k w^j_{,k} + a_j^k w^j_{,k}, (a_i^k)_t w^i_{t,k} + (a_j^k)_t w^j_{t,k}\rangle\Big|$$
$$\leq C_\epsilon \int_0^t \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} ds + \epsilon \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} .$$

For those terms having w_t' in the integrands, following the same procedure of estimating L_4, we find that those terms are bounded by

$$C_\epsilon \Big[\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^3(T)} ds + \|w''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')}\Big] + \epsilon \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} .$$

The terms having w_t'' inside the integrands are

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(b - \tilde{b})(w_t'' \cdot n_t)\Big] dS ,\\
M_2 &= \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[(\eta'' \cdot n_t) - (\tilde{\eta}'' \cdot \tilde{n}_t)\Big](w_t'' \cdot n) dS ,\\
M_3 &= \int_\Gamma \Big[F_1(\eta_0, \eta, \tilde{\eta})(n - \tilde{n}) w_t'' + F_2(\eta_0, \eta, \tilde{\eta})(\tilde{b} - b_0)(n - \tilde{n})_t w_t''\Big] dS .
\end{aligned}$$

Following the same procedure of estimating L_3, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
|M_1| + |M_3| \leq C_\epsilon \Big[&\int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} ds + t^\delta \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|r\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\Big]\\
&+ \epsilon \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\Big|\int_0^t (M_1 + M_3) ds\Big| \leq C_\epsilon \Big[t^\delta \int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} ds + \int_0^t \|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds\Big] .$$

For M_2, by interpolations and (10.8), its time integral satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|\int_0^t M_2 ds\Big| &\leq C_\epsilon \int_0^t \Big[\|\mathcal{E}\|^2_{H^{3.25}(\Gamma)} + \|w''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')}\Big] ds + \epsilon \int_0^t \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} ds\\
&\leq C_\epsilon \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} ds + C_\epsilon \int_0^t \|w''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')} ds\\
&\quad + \epsilon \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}\|^2_{H^{3.5}(\Gamma)} ds + \epsilon \int_0^t \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} ds\\
&\leq C_\epsilon \int_0^t \|w''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')} ds + \epsilon \int_0^t \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} ds .
\end{aligned}$$

All the remaining terms can be bounded by

$$C\Big[\|w\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} + \int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^(\Gamma)} ds\Big] .$$

Time integrating (10.10), choosing $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$ small enough, by (10.4) and (10.6) we find that

$$Y(T) + \int_0^T \|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} ds \leq C \int_0^T Y(T) ds + C \int_0^T \|w''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')} ds \tag{10.11}$$

where

$$Y(T) = \sup_{0\le t\le T} \Big[\|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|w'\cdot\eta'\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \|w''\cdot n\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\Big]\,.$$

10.3. **Estimates for w''.** Let $\phi = (\zeta_1^2 w'')''$ in (10.3), then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\|\zeta_1 w_t''\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + 2\||\eta_0'|^{-3/2}(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta')''\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + 2\||\eta_0'|^{-3/2}(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\tilde\eta')''\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\\
&\qquad + \||\eta_0'|^{-3/2}(\mathcal{E}''\cdot n)''\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\Big] + \frac{\nu}{2}\|\zeta_1 D_\eta w''\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
&= -\nu\int_\Omega \zeta_1\Big[2(a_i^{k\prime} w^{j\prime}_{,k} + a_j^{k\prime} w^{i\prime}_{,k}) + (a_i^{k\prime\prime} w^j_{,k} + a_j^{k\prime\prime} w^i_{,k})\Big] a_i^k \zeta_1 w^{j\prime\prime}_{,k}\,dx\\
&\quad - 2\nu\int_\Omega \zeta_1\Big[(a_i^{k\prime} w^j_{,k} + a_j^{k\prime} w^i_{,k}) + (a_i^k w^{j\prime}_{,k} + a_j^k w^{i\prime}_{,k})\Big] a_i^{k\prime} \zeta_1 w^{j\prime\prime}_{,k}\,dx\\
&\quad + 4\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(g-\tilde g)\Big[(\eta^{(5)}\cdot\mathcal{E}')_t + 4(\eta^{(4)}\cdot\mathcal{E}'')_t + 6(\eta'''\cdot\mathcal{E}''')_t + 4(\eta''\cdot\mathcal{E}^{(4)})_t\Big]dS\\
&\quad - 4\int_\Gamma \Big[(|\eta_0'|^{-3})'(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta')' + (|\eta_0'|^{-3})''(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta')\Big](\eta'\cdot\mathcal{E}')''_t\,dS\\
&\quad - 4\int_\Gamma \Big[(|\eta_0'|^{-3})'(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\tilde\eta')' + (|\eta_0'|^{-3})''(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\tilde\eta')\Big](\tilde\eta'\cdot\mathcal{E}')''_t\,dS\\
&\quad - 4\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\tilde\eta')''(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\mathcal{E}')''_t\,dS - \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big[\tilde\eta''\cdot(n-\tilde n)\Big]''(n\cdot\mathcal{E}'')''_t\,dS \qquad (10.12)\\
&\quad - \int_\Gamma \Big[2(b-\tilde b)'(|\eta_0'|^{-3}n)' + (b-\tilde b)(|\eta_0'|^{-3}n)''\Big]\cdot w^{(4)}\,dS\\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(b-\tilde b)''\Big[(\mathcal{E}''\cdot n_t)'' + 2w'''\cdot n' + w''\cdot n''\Big]dS\\
&\quad - 4\int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(\tilde g-g_0)\mathcal{E}'\Big]''\cdot w'''\,dS - 2\int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}(\tilde b-b_0)(n-\tilde n)\Big]''\cdot w^{(4)}\,dS\\
&\quad - \int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}\Big(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta' + \tilde\eta'\cdot\mathcal{E}'\Big)g^{-1}\tilde g^{-1}(b-b_0)g'n\Big]''\cdot w'''\,dS\\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde g^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{E}''\cdot n + \tilde\eta''\cdot(n-\tilde n)\Big)g'n\Big]''\cdot w'''\,dS\\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde g^{-1}(\tilde b-b_0)\Big(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta' + \tilde\eta'\cdot\mathcal{E}'\Big)'n\Big]''\cdot w'''\,dS\\
&\quad + \int_\Gamma \Big[|\eta_0'|^{-3}\tilde g^{-1}(\tilde b-b_0)\tilde g'(n-\tilde n)\Big]''\cdot w'''\,dS\\
&\quad - \int_\Gamma (\delta L_1)\cdot w''''\,dS - \langle r, a_i^j(\zeta_1^2 w^{i\prime\prime})''_{,j}\rangle + \langle \delta F, (\zeta_1^2 w'')''\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

As the estimate of K_1 in Section 9.1, the first two integrals (due to viscosity) on the right-hand side can be bounded by $C\|w\|_{H^{2.5}(\Omega)}\|w\|_{H^3(\Omega)}$, and by Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_\Omega \zeta_1\Big[2(a_i^{k\prime} w^{j\prime}_{,k} + a_j^{k\prime} w^{i\prime}_{,k}) + (a_i^{k\prime\prime} w^j_{,k} + a_j^{k\prime\prime} w^i_{,k})\Big] a_i^k \zeta_1 w^{j\prime\prime}_{,k}\,dx\Big|\\
&+ \Big|\int_\Omega \zeta_1\Big[(a_i^{k\prime} w^j_{,k} + a_j^{k\prime} w^i_{,k}) + (a_i^k w^{j\prime}_{,k} + a_j^k w^{i\prime}_{,k})\Big] a_i^{k\prime} \zeta_1 w^{j\prime\prime}_{,k}\,dx\Big|\\
&\le C_\epsilon\|w\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon\|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the computation of estimating D_1 in page 48 of [5], we find that

$$|\langle r, a_i^j(\zeta_1^2 w^{i\prime\prime})''_{,j}\rangle| \le C_\epsilon t \int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \epsilon\|r\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\,.$$

By (10.2a), (10.2c) and interpolation inequalities,

$$|\langle \delta F, (\zeta_1^2 w'')''\rangle| + \Big|\int_\Gamma (\delta L_1)\cdot w'''' dS\Big| \le C_\epsilon t \int_0^t \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} ds + \epsilon \|w\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}\,.$$

By $H^{1.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-1.5}(\Gamma)$ or $H^{0.5}(\Gamma)$-$H^{-0.5}(\Gamma)$ duality pairing,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(g-\tilde g)\Big[(\eta^{(5)}\cdot \mathcal{E}')_t + 4(\eta^{(4)}\cdot\mathcal{E}'')_t + 6(\eta'''\cdot\mathcal{E}''')_t + 4(\eta''\cdot\mathcal{E}^{(4)})_t\Big] dS\Big|\\ &\le C\|g-\tilde g\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big[\|w\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|\mathcal{E}\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_\Gamma \Big[(|\eta_0'|^{-3})'(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta')' + (|\eta_0'|^{-3})''(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta')\Big](\eta'\cdot\mathcal{E}')''_t dS\Big|\\ &+\Big|\int_\Gamma \Big[(|\eta_0'|^{-3})'(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta')' + (|\eta_0'|^{-3})''(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta')\Big](\eta'\cdot\mathcal{E}')''_t dS\Big|\\ &\le C\Big[\|\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta'\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)} + \|\mathcal{E}'\cdot\tilde\eta'\|_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\Big[\|\mathcal{E}\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)} + \|w\|_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big]\,.\end{aligned}$$

Standard Höolder's inequality shows that

$$\Big|\int_\Gamma |\eta_0'|^{-3}(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\tilde\eta')''(\mathcal{E}'\cdot\mathcal{E}')''_t dS\Big| \le C\Big[\|\mathcal{E}'\cdot\tilde\eta'\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathcal{E}\|^2_{H^3(\Gamma)}\Big]\,.$$

Similar to the estimates of K_3, K_4 and K_5, by (8.7), (8.10) and (10.9), we find that the time integral of the remaining terms can be bounded by

$$(C_\epsilon t + \epsilon)\int_0^t \Big[\|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] ds + C_\epsilon \int_0^t \Big[\|w_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{1.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] ds\,.$$

Time integrating (10.12), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}Z(T) + \int_0^T \|w''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')} ds \le (C_\epsilon T + \epsilon)\int_0^T \Big[\|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w\|^2_{H^{2.5}(\Gamma)}\Big] ds \\ + C_\epsilon \int_0^T (Y(t) + Z(t)) dt\,,\end{aligned} \tag{10.13}$$

where

$$Z(T) = \sup_{0\le t\le T}\Big[\|w''\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1')} + \|\mathcal{E}'\cdot\eta'\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathcal{E}'\cdot\tilde\eta'\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathcal{E}''\cdot n\|^2_{H^2(\Gamma)}\Big]\,.$$

Combining (10.11) and (10.13), choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough and then $T > 0$ small enough, we find that

$$Y(T) + Z(T) + \int_0^T \Big[\|w_t\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w''\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_1')}\Big] ds \le C\int_0^T (Y(t)+Z(t)) dt\,.$$

By the Gronwall inequality, Y and Z are identical to zero, which shows $v = \tilde v$, and hence the solution $v \in \mathcal{V}^5(T)$ to (2.3) is unique.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Auricchio, L. Beirão da Veiga and C. Lovadina, REMARKS ON THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF KOITER SHELLS, Computers and Structures, **80** (2002), 735-745

[2] H. Beirão. da Veiga, ON THE EXISTENCE OF STRONG SOLUTIONS TO A COUPLED FLUID-STRUCTURE EVOLUTION PROBLEM, J. Math. Fluid Mech., **6** 2004, 21–52.

[3] M. Boulakia, EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR AN INTERACTION PROBLEM BETWEEN AN ELASTIC STRUCTURE AND A COMPRESSIBLE VISCOUS FLUID, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **84** (2005), no. 11, 1515–1554.

[4] A. Chambolle, B. Desjardins, M.J. Esteban, C. Grandmont, EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR AN UNSTEADY FLUID-PLATE INTERACTION PROBLEM, Preprint.

[5] C.H. Cheng, D. Coutand, S. Shkoller, NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS INTERACTING WITH A NONLINEAR ELASTIC SHELL, Preprint.

[6] P.G. Ciarlet, AN INTRODUCTION TO DIFFERENTIAL GEORETRY WITH APPLICATIONS TO ELASTICITY, Springer 2005

[7] P.G. Ciarlet, INTRODUCTION TO LINEAR SHELL THEORY, Series in Applied Mathematics (Paris), vol. 1, Gauthier-Villars, Editions Scientifiques et M edicales Elsevier, Paris, 1998.

[8] D. Coutand and S. Shkoller, UNIQUE SOLVABILITY OF THE FREE-BOUNDARY NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH SURFACE TENSION,

[9] D. Coutand and S. Shkoller, ON THE MOTION OF AN ELASTIC SOLID INSIDE OF AN INCOMPRESSIBLE VISCOUS FLUID, to appear in Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.

[10] D. Coutand and S. Shkoller, ON THE INTERACTION BETWEEN QUASILINEAR ELASTODYNAMICS AND THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS,

[11] B. Desjardins, M.J. Esteban, EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR THE MOTION OF RIGID BODIES IN A VISCOUS FLUID, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **146** (1999), 59–71.

[12] B. Desjardins, M.J. Esteban, C. Grandmont, P. Le Tallec, WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR A FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION PROBLEM, Rev. Mat. Complut., **14** (2001), 523–538.

[13] L.C. Evans, PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **19** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[14] F. Flori, P. Orenga, FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION: ANALYSIS OF A 3-D COMPRESSIBLE MODEL, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **17** (2000), 753-777.

[15] G.P. Galdi, AN INTRODUCTION TO THE MATHEMATICAL THEORY OF THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS VOLUME I, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, Vol **38**.

[16] Z. Ge, H.P. Kruse and J.E. Marsden, THE LIMITS OF HAMILTONIAN STRUCTURES IN THREE-DIMENSIONAL ELASTICITY, SHELLS, AND RODS, J. Nonlinear Sci. Vol. **6** (1996), 19-57.

[17] E. Givelberg, MODELING ELASTIC SHELLS IMMERSED IN FLUID, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **57** (2004), no. 3, 283-309.

[18] C. Grandmont, Y. Maday, EXISTENCE FOR UNSTEADY FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION PROBLEM, Math. Model. Numer. Anal., **34** (2000), 609–636.

[19] R.J. Leveque, C.S. Peskin and P.D. Lax, SOLUTION OF A TWO-DIMENSIONAL COCHLEA MODEL WITH FLUID VISCOSITY, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **45** (1985), no. 3, 450-464.

[20] C. Liu, N.J. Walkington, AN EULERIAN DESCRIPTION OF FLUIDS CONTAINING VISCO-ELASTIC PARTICLES, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **159** (2001), 229-252.

[21] D. Serre, CHUTE LIBRE D'UN SOLIDE DANS UN FLUIDE VISQUEUX INCOMPRESSIBLE: EXISTENCE, Japan J. Appl. Math., **4** (1987), 33–73.

[22] H.F. Weinberger, VARIATIONAL PROPERTIES OF STEADY FALL IN STOKES FLOW, J. Fluid Mech., **52** 1972, 321–344.

E-mail address: `cchsiao@math.ucdavis.edu`

E-mail address: `coutand@math.ucdavis.edu`

E-mail address: `shkoller@math.ucdavis.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, CA 95616